



<p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Support:</b> treat manufactured and mobile homes like other housing?</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="576 226 1096 327"> <thead> <tr> <th>Yes</th> <th>Yes, with Restrictions</th> <th>No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-</td> <td>1 vote</td> <td>-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes, allow manufactured housing – no mobile homes</li> <li>• Allow mobile homes in some areas at least</li> <li>• Tiny homes?</li> <li>• If people will live in it, then it should be legal. Cardboard box, whatever</li> <li>• We should allow mobile homes in more than just the parks</li> </ul>	Yes	Yes, with Restrictions	No	-	1 vote	-										
Yes	Yes, with Restrictions	No															
-	1 vote	-															
<p><b>Shop-Houses (“Shouses”)</b> Defining in code, and allowing, shop-houses: a living unit attached to or on the same property as a workshop, garage, or similar building.</p> <p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Level of support:</b> allow shop-houses in residential districts</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="576 598 1442 699"> <thead> <tr> <th>1 Strongly Oppose</th> <th>2 Oppose</th> <th>3 Neutral</th> <th>4 Support</th> <th>5 Strongly Support</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-</td> <td>1 vote</td> <td>1 vote</td> <td>2 votes</td> <td>12 votes</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>Support:</b> allow shop-houses in <u>all</u> residential districts? [asked but no responses]</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="576 772 1096 873"> <thead> <tr> <th>Yes</th> <th>Yes, in some districts</th> <th>No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>[No comments provided]</p>	1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support	-	1 vote	1 vote	2 votes	12 votes	Yes	Yes, in some districts	No	-	-	-
1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support													
-	1 vote	1 vote	2 votes	12 votes													
Yes	Yes, in some districts	No															
-	-	-															
<p><b>Short-Term Rentals</b></p> <p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Level of support:</b> expand definition of B&amp;B and allow all short term rentals</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="576 991 1442 1092"> <thead> <tr> <th>1 Strongly Oppose</th> <th>2 Oppose</th> <th>3 Neutral</th> <th>4 Support</th> <th>5 Strongly Support</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>4 votes</td> <td>1 vote</td> <td>1 vote</td> <td>-</td> <td>6 votes</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Impacts housing supply</li> <li>• Negative impact on housing supply</li> <li>• Forces high rents, even for manufactured homes</li> <li>• Want to see more of these, there is not enough lodging</li> <li>• Should focus more on long-term rentals over short-term. Short-term should be taxed more than long-term. Focus more on local citizens, not visitors.</li> <li>• Allow everywhere</li> <li>• Do not allow on R-1 lots</li> <li>• No regulation needed, not enough lodging</li> </ul>	1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support	4 votes	1 vote	1 vote	-	6 votes						
1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support													
4 votes	1 vote	1 vote	-	6 votes													
<p><b>Snow Storage</b></p> <p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Question:</b> Which topics should be in zoning code regarding snow storage?</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="576 1512 1096 1612"> <thead> <tr> <th>Roof Pitch</th> <th>Minimum Setbacks</th> <th>No Rules Needed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-</td> <td>2 votes</td> <td>5 votes</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (regarding roof pitch) Too much variation to regulate</li> <li>• Move snow to snow dumps (like individuals do)</li> <li>• Keep your snow on your own lot</li> </ul>	Roof Pitch	Minimum Setbacks	No Rules Needed	-	2 votes	5 votes										
Roof Pitch	Minimum Setbacks	No Rules Needed															
-	2 votes	5 votes															

## Station: Commercial and Industrial

<p><b>Simplifying Commercial and Industrial Uses</b></p> <p>Reducing number of specific uses in code, with broader categories of business uses.</p> <p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Level of support:</b> simplifying, broadening categories of comm. + ind. uses</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #FFD700;"> <th style="width: 20%;">1 Strongly Oppose</th> <th style="width: 20%;">2 Oppose</th> <th style="width: 20%;">3 Neutral</th> <th style="width: 20%;">4 Support</th> <th style="width: 20%;">5 Strongly Support</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>7 votes</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduce parking requirements</li> <li>Regulations discourage development</li> <li>Make snow lots available for development and haul snow</li> <li>Keep snow lots available. Community can't afford to haul snow.</li> <li>Remember this plan will likely seem out of date in 40 years from now. We can't imagine what dreams the next person to town will have. Don't try.</li> </ul>	1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support	-	-	-	-	<b>7 votes</b>
1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support							
-	-	-	-	<b>7 votes</b>							
<p><b>Home Occupations</b></p> <p>Expanding the types of allowed home occupations, with rules regarding certain impacts on neighboring properties.</p> <p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Level of support:</b> expanding allowed home occupations</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #FFD700;"> <th style="width: 20%;">1 Strongly Oppose</th> <th style="width: 20%;">2 Oppose</th> <th style="width: 20%;">3 Neutral</th> <th style="width: 20%;">4 Support</th> <th style="width: 20%;">5 Strongly Support</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>4 votes</b></td> <td><b>6 votes</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>[No comments provided]</p>	1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support	-	-	-	<b>4 votes</b>	<b>6 votes</b>
1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support							
-	-	-	<b>4 votes</b>	<b>6 votes</b>							
<p><b>Simplifying Zoning Districts</b></p> <p>Reducing the number of zoning districts, consolidating some similar districts into fewer.</p> <p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Level of support:</b> consolidating districts</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #FFD700;"> <th style="width: 20%;">1 Strongly Oppose</th> <th style="width: 20%;">2 Oppose</th> <th style="width: 20%;">3 Neutral</th> <th style="width: 20%;">4 Support</th> <th style="width: 20%;">5 Strongly Support</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>1 vote</b></td> <td>-</td> <td><b>2 votes</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Keep single-family residential zone as is: people who want less neighbors, more privacy.</li> <li>Regulations need to be consistently enforced</li> <li>Eliminate all limitations on districts: respect what people may want to do with their land.</li> </ul>	1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support	-	-	<b>1 vote</b>	-	<b>2 votes</b>
1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support							
-	-	<b>1 vote</b>	-	<b>2 votes</b>							
<p><b>Waterfront Industrial</b></p>	<p>[Informational: No specific questions, no comments provided]</p>										

**Station: Approval Process**

**Administrative alternatives to Conditional Use process**

Allowing some types of approvals to occur administratively via staff, not through a public hearing and conditional use permit.

**Comments**

**Level of support:** simpler alternatives to Conditional Use Permit approval

1 Strongly Oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Support	5 Strongly Support
-	-	-	1 vote	3 votes

**Support:** administrative adjustment

Yes	No
8 votes	-

**Support:** zoning clearance

Yes	No
6 votes	3 votes

- Timeline for administrative approvals (maximum time to respond)
- Simpler is better!
- Reduce conditional uses as much as possible – address it in outright uses. (Allow more uses outright)
- Common sense guidelines in code to reduce need for complex approvals
- How do we define, based on variety of community opinions?
- Some people may not understand the term “zoning clearance”
- Combine RR and RN districts, compare their allowed uses